

Is your first-line technology for detecting endometrial abnormality effective?



Disposable sampling devices obtain a sample from only 4% of the endometrial surface area,¹ and fail to detect 1 in 6 endometrial cancers.²

In-office endometrial sampling is a cost-efficient method for first-line diagnosis of abnormal uterine bleeding (AUB). However, published studies demonstrate that existing suction curette devices do not provide consistent specimen volume or quality, and insinuate the cause may be due to sampling tissue through a single, small port. Consequently, false negative assessment often occurs in patients with focal pathology.

EndoCurette®

Excellent Results for Diagnosis of AUB



EndoCurette uses four bowed curetting elements to remove endometrium independent from the orientation of the four elongated sampling ports. This patented³ configuration is most effective with a single fundus-to-os draw with a twisting motion. EndoCurette obtains robust tissue samples with intact glands and stroma, often providing first-pass definitive diagnosis of abnormal bleeding.

Experience using EndoCurette and see what you've been missing!

EndoCurette

CUR-100 25/box

Traditional plunger-cannulus system provides positive vacuum and rigidity during insertion.

EndoCurette Clear

CUR-120 20/box

EndoCurette Clear's 20 ml syringe maintains vacuum for sampling, even when aspirating fluid.

- Patented³ multi-port tip configuration is designed to obtain a sample representative of a majority of the endometrial surface to improve detection of focal pathology.
- Deliberate fundus-to-os sampling motion prevents patient discomfort and risk of trauma by eliminating repeated fundal contact of tip.
- Vacuum plunger provides stiffness to facilitate insertion.



United States

Utah Medical Products, Inc.
7043 South 300 West
Midvale, Utah 84047
800.533.4984 ♦ 801.566.1200
fax 801.566.2062

Europe

Utah Medical Products Ltd.
Athlone Business & Technology Park
Dublin Road
Athlone, County Westmeath, Ireland
353.90.647.3932

www.utahmed.com

pn 58262 rev 052308

1 Rodriguez GC et al, *A comparison of the Pipelle device and the Vabra aspirator as measured by endometrial denudation in hysterectomy specimens: The Pipelle device samples significantly less of the endometrial surface than the Vabra aspirator*, Am J Obstet Gynecol 1993;168:55-9
2 Guido RS et al, *Pipelle endometrial sampling: sensitivity in the detection of endometrial cancer*, J Reprod Med 1995;40:553-5
3 U.S. Patent Number 5,807,282; Licensed from Mayo Clinic